

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VICTOR ANDREWS, *on behalf of himself*
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BLICK ART MATERIALS, LLC.

Defendant.

17-CV-767

CONSENT JUDGMENT

HAVING CONSIDERED Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Entry of Judgment, this Court finds that:

A. Defendant Blick Art Materials, LLC ("Defendant") operates certain websites at the address www.dickblick.com, www.utrechtart.com and www.dickblick.com/ara (the "Websites") at which it offers for sale and sells art supplies;

B. Plaintiff is a blind individual who claims that Defendant's Websites are not fully accessible to, and independently usable by, blind people in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA"), New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law, Article 15 (Executive Law § 290 et seq.), and the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-101 et seq.

C. Defendant denies that the Websites fail to comply with Title III the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA") or any other applicable laws;

D. Defendant further asserts it has: a) been working to improve the overall functionality and accessibility of the Websites; b) been awaiting guidance from the Department of Justice ("DOJ") which has yet to promulgate guidelines on website accessibility; and c) retained a

website accessibility technical coordinator to assist it in auditing the Websites and improving accessibility to customers and potential customers who suffer from disabilities.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is granted and that:

1. Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, and related entities bring the Websites into substantial conformance with the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 Level AA, which are hereby determined by the Court to be an appropriate standard to judge whether Defendant is in compliance with any accessibility requirements of the ADA, New York State law, or New York City local law on or before December 31, 2019.

2. The Court shall reasonably modify the accessibility standards applicable to Defendant's Websites if

a. the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") promulgates a final ADA Title III regulation setting out a website accessibility technical standard applicable to Defendant's Website and Other Websites; or

b. there are changes to international standards or technology related to sighted impaired individuals' access to the internet.

c. Defendant will take reasonable and necessary efforts to ensure legal compliance with the Court's modifications to the settlement.

3. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the implementation of Defendant's compliance with WCAG 2.0 AA or any other updated standards, including assessing and awarding any damages, costs, or legal fees reasonably and necessarily incurred by Plaintiff's counsel in post-judgment enforcement proceedings.

4. The Clerk is directed to close this case.

SO ORDERED in New York, New York, this [____] day of [____], 2017
